Improving the Thermal Performance of Traditional windows





Table 5: The effect of the various options on reduction in heat loss through single glazing, the estimated

U-values and measured average surface temperatures	Reduction in heat loss	U-value W/m ² K	Temperature of Interior (warm) room facing surface °C		
Centre of glazing	-	5.4	12		
Option 1. Heavy curtains fitted to rail on inside of insulated panel above window	14%	3.2	20	Band	BFRC rating
Option 2. Shutters	51%	2.2	19		Contain a
Option 3. Modified shutters, with insulation inserted into panels and covered with 6mm plywood	60%	1.6		B	0 or better 0 to -10
Option 4. Modern roller blind fitted at the top of the window case inner lining	22%	3.0		c	-10 to -20
Option 5. Modern roller blind as option 4, with low emissivity plastic film fixed to the window facing side of the blind	45%	2.2	20 G Energy Index (MNh th)year) formy had confusitive difference in the second	4	-20 to -30 -30 to -50
Option 6.Victorian blind fitted to the top of the recess formed by the window case pulley stiles at the side of the upper sash	28%	3.2	18 The climate zone is: Thermal Transmittance (U _{minten}) Solar Factor (9 _{minten}) Effective Ar Leakage (L _{boar}) 0.50 0.10 W/m ²	F	-50 to -70
Option 7.A "thermal" Duette honeycomb blind manufactured by Hunter Douglas Europe b.v.	36%	2.4	21		
Victorian Blind & Shutters	58%	1.8	19		
Victorian Blind, Shutters & Curtains	62%	1.6	21		
Secondary Glazing System	63%	1.7	19		1
Secondary Glazing & Curtains	66%	1.3	22	\sim	100ruiou
Secondary Glazing & Insulated Shutters	77%	1.0	21		
Secondary Glazing & Shutters	75%	1.1	20		
Double Glazing	55%	1.9	18		fo@clearviewsg.co.uk

Prepared for Historic Scotland by Paul Baker, Glasgow Caledonian University October 2008

EMAIL: info@clearviewsg.co.uk TEL: 0114 294 5018